


8/18 & 5 pm



**RFP – NO. 14-2
FOR SALE OR LEASE AND DEVELOPMENT OF RIO NUEVO DISTRICT'S ARENA SITE PROPERTY
PRESENTATION & INTERVIEW SCORE SHEET**

Evaluation Criteria:	Maximum Points Possible	Peach Properties	Nor-Generation						
1. Project Description	100	10	100						
2. Consistency with District Goals for this Site	200	10	200						
3. Proposer Qualifications	150	10	150						
4. Proposer Business Plan	175	10	175						
5. Proposer Financial Capacity	175	10	175						
6. Requested District Assistance	100	10	100						
7. Project Schedule	100	10	100						
TOTALS	1000	70	1000						

I hereby attest that the points awarded to each Proposer listed above were scored in accordance with the established RFP evaluation criteria and represent my best judgment of the respondents' submittals.


Alberto Moore

8-12-14
Date



Rio Nuevo
TUCSON, ARIZONA


**RFP – NO. 14-2
FOR SALE OR LEASE AND DEVELOPMENT OF RIO NUEVO DISTRICT’S ARENA SITE PROPERTY
PRESENTATION & INTERVIEW SCORE SHEET**

Evaluation Criteria:	Maximum Points Possible	Peach Properties	Not-Generation						
1. Project Description	100	90	75						
2. Consistency with District Goals for this Site	200	150	125						
3. Proposer Qualifications	150	125	125						
4. Proposer Business Plan	175	140	130						
5. Proposer Financial Capacity	175	150	150						
6. Requested District Assistance	100	35	75						
7. Project Schedule	100	85	60						
TOTALS	1000	775	740						

I hereby attest that the points awarded to each Proposer listed above were scored in accordance with the established RFP evaluation criteria and represent my best judgment of the respondents’ submittals.

Mark Irvin

8-18-14
Date



Rio Nuevo
TUCSON, ARIZONA

**RFP – NO. 14-2
FOR SALE OR LEASE AND DEVELOPMENT OF RIO NUEVO DISTRICT’S ARENA SITE PROPERTY
PRESENTATION & INTERVIEW SCORE SHEET**

Evaluation Criteria:	Maximum Points Possible	Peach Properties	Nor-Generation						
1. Project Description	100	70	90						
2. Consistency with District Goals for this Site	200	175	160						
3. Proposer Qualifications	150	130	90						
4. Proposer Business Plan	175	160	130						
5. Proposer Financial Capacity	175	160	100						
6. Requested District Assistance	100	70	60						
7. Project Schedule	100	90	70						
TOTALS	1000	855	700						

I hereby attest that the points awarded to each Proposer listed above were scored in accordance with the established RFP evaluation criteria and represent my best judgment of the respondents' submittals.

Jannie Cox

Date

8/18/14



Rio Nuevo
TUCSON, ARIZONA

**RFP – NO. 14-2
FOR SALE OR LEASE AND DEVELOPMENT OF RIO NUEVO DISTRICT'S ARENA SITE PROPERTY
PRESENTATION & INTERVIEW SCORE SHEET**

<u>ORAL</u>	Maximum Points Possible	Peach Properties	Nor-Generation						
Evaluation Criteria:									
1. Project Description	100	90	90						
2. Consistency with District Goals for this Site	200	185	190						
3. Proposer Qualifications	150	150	150						
4. Proposer Business Plan	175	100	120						
5. Proposer Financial Capacity	175	100	120						
6. Requested District Assistance	100	80	90						
7. Project Schedule	100	90	75						
TOTALS	1000	795	835						

I hereby attest that the points awarded to each Proposer listed above were scored in accordance with the established RFP evaluation criteria and represent my best judgment of the respondents' submittals.

Fletcher McCusker

Date

2/18/14





Rio Nuevo
TUCSON, ARIZONA

**RFP – NO. 14-2
FOR SALE OR LEASE AND DEVELOPMENT OF RIO NUEVO DISTRICT’S ARENA SITE PROPERTY
PRESENTATION & INTERVIEW SCORE SHEET**

Evaluation Criteria:	Maximum Points Possible	Peach Properties	Nor-Generation						
1. Project Description	100	100	100						
2. Consistency with District Goals for this Site	200	190	195						
3. Proposer Qualifications	150	150	150						
4. Proposer Business Plan	175	170	170						
5. Proposer Financial Capacity	175	165	170						
6. Requested District Assistance	100	90	100						
7. Project Schedule	100	100	95						
TOTALS	1000	965	980						

I hereby attest that the points awarded to each Proposer listed above were scored in accordance with the established RFP evaluation criteria and represent my best judgment of the respondents' submittals.


Chris Sheafe


Date